



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,435 12/03/2001	Shunpei Yamazaki	SEL 132 DIV 1	1752
26568 7590 02/11/2003	1		
COOK, ALEX, MCFARRON, MANZO, CUMMINGS & MEHLER LTD EXAMINER			
SUITE 2850 200 WEST ADAMS STREET		QI, ZHI QIANG	
CHICAGO, IL 60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2871	<u>-</u>
	DATE MAILED: 02/11/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			N-
	Application No.	pplicant(s)	- 4-3
→ Advisory Action	10/005,435	YAMAZAKI ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit	
•	Mike Qi	2871	
The MAILING DATE f this communication app	ears on the c ver sheet with th	correspondence add	fress
THE REPLY FILED 21 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this app I) a timely filed amendment wl	lication. A proper repl nich places the applica	ly to a ation in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	-		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	later than SIX MONTHS from the ma	ailing date of the final reject	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	of extension and the corresponding a f the shortened statutory period for re fice later than three months after the	amount of the fee. The app ply originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:		
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or searc	h (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by m	aterially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	of finally rejected claim	ıs.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	a separate, timely filed	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been co	nsidered but does NC	T place the

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

10. Other: ____

SD

6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly

7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

Claim(s) allowed: _____.
Claim(s) objected to: _____.
Claim(s) rejected: 33-77.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

Continuation Sh et (PTO-303)

Applicati n N . 10/005,435

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amended limitations in the claims " forming a body with a texture surface on the pixel electrode by a photolithography" raise new issues that would require further consideration and search.